

**MILTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING FORUM
MINUTES OF MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING
HELD ON 14th JUNE 2018 AT MILTON VILLAGE HALL**

Present

Rod Bailey (RB)	Chair
Kimberly Barrett (KB)	
Paul Docking (PD)	Secretary
Peter Higgins (PH)	
Paddy O'Hara (PO'H)	
Pam Pritchard (PJP)	
Paul Pritchard (PP)	
Cllr Ben Dowling (BD)	
Cllr Gerald Vernon-Jackson (GVJ)	(part meeting)

Cllr Gerald Vernon-Jackson had a prior engagement but updated the Committee on what he had been told about Air Quality by PCC officers and said that he had asked for a school places forecast for 10 and 15 years from now.

1. Apologies for Absence

Apologies for absence were received from Martin Lock, Rajah Ghosh, and Cllrs Jeanette Smith and Darren Sanders.

2. Approval of the Minutes of the Previous Meeting

The minutes of the meeting held on 10th May 18 were approved unanimously.

3. Matters Arising from the Minutes of the Previous Meeting

All matters arising had been dealt with prior to this meeting

4. Update from the Chair on the Work of the Forum

RB said that much of his time had been spent on the Phase One application, particularly looking at protection for the villas. Historic England (HE) had declined to list them and he had been told the day before the meeting. There is a meeting with Stephen Morgan MP, who is also a PCC Heritage Champion, on Friday 15th, PO'H RB and BD will attend. PO'H said that he would be contacting someone from HE who had studied early psychiatric hospitals to see if they could apply some internal pressure. The question of curtilage listing was still unresolved as HE had not mentioned it in their reply. After some discussion, it was agreed that PO'H would continue to gather information and draft a reply to challenge to Historic England's decision to not list the Villas

**Action: PO'H to co-ordinate a response to
HE about the villas**

RB said that a meeting to discuss the planning system with Roberta Blacman-Woods, the Shadow Planning and Communities Minister would take place, probably in September. RB mentioned that some planning officers leaving the council may delay the Phase 1 application being heard. BD suggested that it may be until September, and RB noted that the villas were scheduled for demolition at about that time.

PD had three questions emailed by a Broom Square resident, about demolition of the Barnard Tower, opening up Furze Lane to traffic and whether the 13 bus service is subsidised. RB said that, although the removal of the Barnard Tower from Langstone Campus would not be a loss, we could not do more than encourage the site owner to do so. There are four constraints on the coastline: protection of the visual amenity, maintenance of public access, constraints on lighting to avoid a navigation hazard and maintaining the natural habitat. RB will circulate his thoughts on modifying the Langstone Campus Special Policy Area section to incorporate the restrictive nature of existing Portsmouth Plan policies affecting the site. We do not support the opening up of Furze Lane to traffic, even one way, preferring to avoid a potential rat run and preferring to use it for sustainable transport such as buses, bicycles and walking. As far as we are aware, the 13 bus service is not subsidised.

Action: PD to draft a reply to email
Action: RB To circulate thoughts on strengthening the coastline section of the Plan

5. To review progress on writing the draft plan

PD tabled the replies to our questions of 19 March, received today.

On Transport, the reply was broadly in agreement with our Plan. PP was asked to examine it in detail and to co-ordinate any responses to the PCC reply on Transport policy

Action: PP to co-ordinate any responses to the PCC reply on Transport policy

On Social Care, the reply indicated that there was sufficient capacity in the current system to cover the plan period. PO'H was asked to examine the statistics underpinning the conclusion that St James was not needed. PD would check other areas and co-ordinate a response.

Action: PD to co-ordinate responses to the PCC reply on social care policy, PO'H to provide an analysis of the stats

On Education, the response that there was sufficient capacity. However, there was no indication of any planning figures beyond a five year period. There were also a number of observations on the draft Plan education section that needed to be acted on. Notwithstanding GVJ's earlier statement about numbers, it was agreed that we again ask for the planning figures. We should also emphasise the local difficulties about school places. PH will co-ordinate our response.

Action: PH to co-ordinate responses to the PCC reply on education -

PD said that we were still to track to approve the draft plan at the August meeting. Current work was focusing on SEA recommendations, along with some formatting and editing work. Policy evidence summaries would then be incorporated. The SEA is now on the MNPF Drop Box in Reports. Committee members were asked to read, and comment if necessary, by the next meeting

Action: All members to read and comment on SEA report by next meeting

6. To review the next steps for the Plan

PP was about one third through the Consultation Statement. He will post it in the Evidence folder in MNPF Drop Box for members to review, and email PP with additions or amendments.

Action: PP to place draft Consultation Statement in MNPF Drop Box, Evidence folder

Action: members to review Consultation Statement and email PP with additions or amendments

7. To receive a financial update

There was nothing additional to the minutes of the May meeting to report.

8. To consider arrangements for the Annual General Meeting (AGM) on 5th July 2018

PP has issued the minutes of the last AGM and the agenda for the upcoming one. He will be sending invitations out shortly by email and will ask Ian Clark to publicise it on Miltonplan.org.

Action: PP to issue invitations to AGM

Action: PP to arrange for website to announce AGM

9. Any Other Business

KB said that she would be attending the Planning Committee next Wednesday to deliver a deputation on the former Brewers Arms planning application. She explained that the major objection that she would focus on was highways and asked other committee members for their views. RB said that he would review and let her know.

Action: RB to review and pass thoughts to KB

PD said that in view of the number of actions and time before the next meeting, he will circulate an action list tomorrow.

Action: PD to circulate actions list on 15th Jun

10. Date of Next Meeting

The date of the next meeting was agreed as **5th July 18**, after the AGM, in Milton Village Hall.

ACTIONS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING
HELD ON 14TH June 2108

Minute	Action	Who by
4 (1)	To gather information and draft a reply to challenge to Historic England's decision to not list the Villas	PO'H to lead
4 (2)	To draft a reply to the Broom Square resident	PD
4 (3)	To circulate thoughts on the visual amenity of Langstone foreshore and to see if our policy could be strengthened	RB
5 (1)	To co-ordinate any responses to the PCC reply on Transport policy	PP
5 (2)	To co-ordinate responses to the PCC reply on social care policy	PD PO'H [statistics]
5 (3)	To co-ordinate responses to the PCC reply on education	PH
5 (4)	To read and comment on the updated SEA [in the MNPF Drop Box Reports folder] by the next meeting	All
6 (1)	To place the draft Consultation Statement in the [in the MNPF Drop Box Evidence folder]	PP
6 (2)	To review the draft Consultation Statement and suggest additions and amendments	All
8 (1)	To issue AGM invitations	PP
8 (2)	To update the website with the AGM details	PP
9 (1)	To review the Brewers Arms (170 Milton Road) prior to it being heard at Planning Committee on Wednesday and advise KB	RB/KB